## singer No. 61.

## AGENDA NOTE:

## RC.N0.1521/93/62

Sub:-Planning - Approval of L.P. in S.Ne.46/P of Chinamushidiwada (v) BLP 6/94.

Sri M.Satyanarayana Ra o \& Others have applied for approval of layout in S.No.46/P of Chinamushidivada (v) covering an extent of Acs. 0.63 cents. The layout was approved as BLP No.6/94 and directed the layout owner to develop the layout as par BLP conditions and also to Pay $10 \%$ open space cost of Rs. 17, 160/- and renewal charges of Rs. $1275 /-$ for consideration of layout.

Sri M.Satyanarayana Rag \& Others has requested to approve the L.P. since they have developed the layout as per BLP conditions. The land use analysis of the layout are as follows.

| S1. No. Description |  | Extent | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Plotted area | 0.47 | 74.61 |  |
| 2. Roads area | 0.16 | 25.39 |  |
| 3. Open space | Nil | Nil |  |
|  |  | 0.63 | $-100 \%$ |
|  |  | -0.0 |  |

The Vice-Chairman, VUDA has inspected the layout on 18.3.96 and considered to approve the L.P. since the layout owner has developed the lay out with formation of reads street electrification, puca masanary drains and plantation. The party has also paid Rs.18,437/-on 16.2 .96 towards open space cost and renewal fere.

The VUDA Beard is requested to approve the above proposal.

AGENDA ITEMS AND RESOLUTIONS ON THE BOARD SUBUECTS OF VUDA.

## INDEX



## Rc.Na.2531/91/65

Sub: -Planning - BLP No.6/92 - Approval of L.P. Regarding.
--.-***--
The Municipal Commissioner, Bheemunipatnam Municipality has originally forwarded the layout plans relating to Smut M.Sujatha and others for approval of layout in S.No. 117 of Sangivalasa (v) of Bheemunipatnan for an extent of ac. 6.23 cts.

The layout plans was released vide BLP No.5/86 subject to the layout conditions stipulated therein. Since the lay out owner failed to develop the layout even after $4 \frac{1}{2}$ years, the $B L P 5 / 86$ already released has been cancelled.

Sri P.Subba Raju, the layout owner has requested to revise the layout duly showing small size of plots, ie., $30^{\prime} \times 50^{\prime}$ ' and $30^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$ ' in lieu of the size of the plats already approved vide $45^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$ and $40^{\prime} \times 60^{\prime}$. The revised layout was released vide BLP No.G/92 in S.No.117/P of Sangivalasa (v) covering an extent of Ac. 6.23 cts to the Bheemunipatnam Municipality on 5.3.92 in favour of Sri P.Subba Raju subject to condition to provide infrastructure facilities as per bLP conditions.

The layout owner has requested to release the L.P. since he has developed the layout. The layout was inspected and found that the layout owner has provided all infrastructural facilities as per BLP conditions,ie. WBM r pads, Pucka Masonary drains, fencing of open space with barbed wire and avenue plantation.
$\qquad$

The layout ouner has paid Rs. 7日, 240/- to APSEB towards electrification charges. He has produced the original receipt of Rs. 78,240/- and also provided a apen well in the pen space area as per the request of the Autharity.

The Municipal Commissioner, Bheemunipatnam Municipality has sent his remarks and recommended for release of the final layout. The layout has been inspected by the Vice-Chairman VUDA.

In view of the above, since the layout owner has provided all the infrastructural facilities and the Comissioner, Bheamunipatnam Municipality has already sant his remarks for approval of L.P. it is considered to approve the layout plan in S.Ne.117/p of Sangivalasa (v) for an extant of $A c \cdot 6.23$ cts in favour of Sri P.Subba Rajue

Hence the subject is placed bepore the VUDA Bearal for approval.

## RC.N0.87/96/G2

Sub:-Construction of Commercial cum Office complex by $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$ Pakalapati Town Builders in T.S.No.685/P,686/B \& C part of contonment ward,S•No.B2/8P of Vizianagaram Ist bit abutting to Lower Tank Bund Road.

The Commissioner, Vizianagaram Municipality, Vizianagaram has forwarded the plans submitted by $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$ Pakalapati Town Builders for Construction of Commercial Cum Opfice Complex in T.S.No. $685 / P, 686 / B \& C$ part of contonment ward and in S.Na.32/ap of Vizianagaram Ist bit abutting to Lower Tank Bund road for an extent of Acs. 1.94 cents or $7858.40 \mathrm{sq} \cdot \mathrm{mts}$.

The proposals so received have been examined in detail and the following are the details of commorcial complex.

| 1. Land use as per Zonal Plan | Partly Residential <br> Partly Industrial | Commarcial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Extent | 500 sq -ints | 7858.40 sq.mts |
| 3. Coverage | 40\% | 39.70\% |
| 4. F.A.R. | 1:1.50 | $1: 0.65$ |
| 5. Front set back | 6.10 Mtrs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6.96 Mtrs } \\ & \text { (Average) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6. Rear set back | 3.00 Mtrs | $\begin{aligned} & 4.57 \text { Mtrs } \\ & \text { (4.65 Mts average } \\ & 4.72 \mathrm{Mts} \end{aligned}$ |
| 7. Side set back (Bath siales) | 16.26 mts | 17.07 mts |
| 8. Parking | 1038.44 sqmt | 1038.44 sq.ents |
| 9. Height. of the building (with stilt floor) | 17.50 Mtrs | 7.31 Mtrs |
| 10. No.of floors | $5+2$ floors | $G+1$ plopr |

## $: 2: 2$

The propused site is earnarked as Industrial and residential land use as per the sanctionea Zonal Devalopmant Plan and situated on the Southern side of 80' Lower Tank Bund Road. The existing 80' Lower Tank Bund road is proposed $100^{\circ}$ width and as per the approved ræad alignment plan, the entire $20^{\circ}$ raad widening proposal is falling in the party's site。 Hence $20^{\circ}$ road widening proposal has been shown in the site plan.

As per the sanctianed Master Plan/Zoning Development control Regulations, Commercial use is permissible in the Industrial use Zone with special sanction gf the Authoritye In this propased Commarcial Cum Dffice Complex site the rasidantial site of 1860.93 sq•intrse, is invalved which is within permissible limit with the special sanction of the Authority. The party has paid Rs. 2,42,205/= towards evelopment charges as per G.0.Ms.No.51 dt.5.2.96.

The Vice-Chairman, VUDA has inspecteal the propasad Commercial cum Bffice complox site. As the proposed Commercial cum Office complex plan satisfies the Zaning Regulatiens, permission may be granted subject to the following canditions.

1. That $M / s$ Pakalapati Town Builders ( $P$ ) Ltal., should strictly follow the parking plan and should provide 55 car parking and 30 scootar parking with parking space of 1038.44 sq•mtrs with a parking area + 1978.37 squts indicated in the plan.
?. The ground and Ist fleor plan is approved. In future, ne further floor is permissible. If takenup further flours, he should show sufficient parking for the proposed construction.
2. M/s Pakalapati Town Builders (P) Ltd. should handover the possession of $20^{\prime}$ width land all along the site, ie., 325.16 sq.ints of land at fres of cost to Vizianagaram Municipality after widening the Lower Tank Bund Read.
3. $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$ Pakalapati Town Builders should form $40^{\circ}$ private read on the Eastern side of the site as jaintly agreed by them mutually and same shall be handed ovar te Vizianagaram Municipality.

- M/s Pakalapati Town Builders should strictly follew the appreved plan while constructing the propased commercial cum office complex on ground and also see that no parking is permissible in the building line.
- The proposed store and tailat blecks in the rear set back shall be deleted. The toilet block should come in the main complex in the Ground flour as shoun in the plan.
- In case, if it is found in future that the frent open space abutting to $100^{\prime}$ lawer tank bund road \& $40^{\prime \prime}$ raad area used for parking purpose far the proposed complex the permission will be cancelled and the structures will be demolished if necessary or necessary action will be taken to craat the parking within the shapping complex.
The propesal for construction of shopping complax in
-S.No. 685/P, 686/B \& C part of contonment waral and in i.Ne. 82/8P of Vizianagaram Ist bit abutting to Lower Tank und Read by $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$ Pakalapati fown Builders is placed before IUDA Board for approval subject to the above savan conditions.

> Sub: - VUDA Visakhapatnam - Planning - Approval of E.W.S. layout in T.S.No.187/5 Eff Port ward under UPHS - Reg.

The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Visakhapatnam in his latter No.2973/96/ACP.I/et.26-2.96 has forwarded an E.W.S. layout in T.j.No.187/5 Black No. 3 Port ward $N o .1$ copy an area of 1.01 Aces for approval. He also stater that the District Manager Housing in his. letter No.3056/95/APUD et.24.2.96 requested for layout ta take up Urban permanent Housing Scheme in his area.

The matter has bean examined in detail and found that the area is earmarked for park and play ground as Per sanctioned Master Plan and Town Planning Scheme. The area is under the occupation of glum dwellers fir a long tim and the list was also communicated by the District Collector, Visakhapatnam for regularisation of encroachments as per the G.O.Ms.No. 508 dt.20.10.95. It is also opined by the Collector in the meeting held on the reference of encroachment of Government lands that since the slum is in existanca the same may be considered for regularisation as it would ba vary difficult to rehabilitate them. The C.C.roads and drains are also laid in the colony.


In view of above, the EweS. layout may be considered for approval duly earmarking this area as Residential use in the draft city Zonal Development Plan to incorporate the above proposal and the change of $l_{\text {and }}$ use proposals may be sent to the Government for ratification subject te deleting the area covered under conveyor belt.

The matter is placed before VUDA for its approval.

## Subject No. 65.

Subject: - Works - Engg. C.E. - Construction of Group Housing Scheme in Kanapasa. Ayyannapeta Layout Phase. I, II \& III at Vizianagaram and Madhurawada Group Housing Scneme at Visaknapatnam - Revised Administrative Sanction ; Ratification - Reg.

Reád: - 1) Note orders of the Special officer Dt. 15-2-96 ratifying the revised Administrative Sanction accorded by Vice-cnairman.

AGENDA NOTE:
The Vice-chairman, VUDA bas accorded revised Administrative sanction as against original sanctions for the following works as follows: -


```
NO.
(Rs. in lakms)
```

1) $\begin{aligned} & \text { Construction of Group Hoasing } \\ & \text { Scheme at Kanapaka Ayyannapeta, of }\end{aligned} \begin{array}{lll}\text { of } & 212.54 & 260.81\end{array}$ Vizianagaram Phase.I
2) Construction of Group Housing, \& 210.64 Vizianagaram Pbase.II
3) Construction of Group Housing
Scneme at Kanapaka Ayyannapeta, Vizianagaram Phase.III o
$526.92 \quad 615.45$
4) Group Housing Scneme at Madhurawada Layout,

$$
170.00
$$ Vizianagaram.

$$
147.00
$$

The matter has been placed to the VUDA Board Dt. 10-7-95 wherein a tecnnical committee was constituted to examine the Revised estimates pertaining to Kanapaka Ayyannapeta Housing Scheme Phase. I, II, III and Madnurawada Housing Schemes. The comittee discussed and recommended that the expenditure is reasonably deviated above the sanctioned estimate in view of the details explained.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sub:- Estt - UDA, Vsp. Sri G. P. Prabhakar Rao, } \\
& \text { F.R.O. - Extension of the period of depu- } \\
& \text { tation for a further period of two years - } \\
& \text { Reg. }
\end{aligned}
$$

AGENDA NOTE:

Sri G. P. Prabhakar Rao, F.R.O. has been working in VUDA on deputation since 1-5-93 F.N. The period of deputation ( 3 ra yoa $\boldsymbol{R}$ ) will expire by $30-4-96$ A.N.

Bri G. P. Prabhakar Rao, F.R.O. is looking after the following works:

1. Maintenance of VUDA Park including Fountain Plaza.
2. Avenue plantations raised at Kapuluppada.
3. Avenue Plantations raised at Rushikonda.
4. Avenue Plantations raised at Kurmannapalem
5. Avenue Plantations raised at Pedagantyada.
6. E.P.D.P. Plantations
7. M.V.P. Central Nursary.

The D.F.Q. VUDA in his note Rc. No. 2/96/UFD/K1, dt. 15-3-96 has recommenced for extension of the period of dequtation of the Forest Range Officer for a further period of 2 years from 1-5-96.

As per G.O. P.NC. 10 Fin \& Plg. Dept. dt. 22-1-93, the period of deputation shall be subject to a maximum of Eive years of which the initial period of 3 years shall be sanctioned by the Head of the Department and extension beqond 3 years i.e. for the further period upto 2 years shall be decided by the Govt. concerned, where such extension is consicered necessary in public interest.

The matter is therefore placed before the Spl. Officer to auprove tiee proposal for extension of the period deputation of $S=$ - G.P.Prabhakar Rao, F.R.O. for a further period of 2 years beyond the initial period of 3 years.

SUBIECT NO: 68.

Sub: - Entrustment of the work of preperat ion of Final Accounts of VUDA with internal Audit for the year 1995-96 - Appointment of Auditors - Reg.

## AGENDA NOTE:

The VUDA has engaged Auditors $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$. Y. Narayana Murthy \& Co. Visakhapetnam for preparation of Final Accounts of the VUDA for the year 1994-95. Similarily for the preparation of Final Account for the year 1995-96 the following two firms has submitted their request for appointment as Auditors.

1. INTURI Association, Visakhapatnem.
2. M/s. Y.Narayanamurthy \& Co.. Visakhapatnam.

Earlier Auditor for re-nomination.

The matter has been examined in detail and taking into consideration of the services rendered by the earlier Auditors in preparation $O E$ Final Accounts etc., it has been considered to re-nominate $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$. Y. Narayana Murthy \& Co., Visakhapatnam as Auditors for the year 1995-96 on the same rate of remuneration of Rs. $45.000 /$ - (Rupees Forty five thousand only) fiyed for the previous year and without any other benefits for preparation of Final Accounts and internal dudit on the same $t \in r m s$ and conditions of the previous year.

The matter is pleced before the Authority to approve the above proposal.

