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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SUB:- V.U.D.A. - VISAKHAPATNAM - Aeesunts Wing - } \\
& \text { Paymant af Family Ponsian \& Gratuity ta the } \\
& \text { wivas of } 3 \text { edsegasat amplayes whe axired } \\
& \text { while in sarvise - Extansion of tha family } \\
& \text { Pansian ana gratuity manefits in ralaxation } \\
& \text { of the Governmant Orders as was den in } \\
& \text { arliar twa cases - Racemnendation ta the } \\
& \text { Gavarnment - Praposals - Reg. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## AGENQA NOIE:

Tha follawing inaividuals whe are the wives of the derased V.U.D.A. Emsloyas have reprasonted to sanetion pansion and gratuity $t=$ tham, sine tha V.U.D.A. has proviad eomassimate amsleymat ta them only and not sanstimed so far the aensianary bonofits to them:

1) Smt. P.Sita Ratnam, : Attandar

W/e.lata Srip. (Cammassimate appointment). Suryanarayana.
2) Smt.J.Pydithalli,
: Attandar W/O.late Sri J. Appala Reddy.
3) Smt. S.Anmaji, Harsm Sri S.Chinna appainted W/e.late Sri S.A.H.Raju. as Chainman on empassianate greunds.
Gratuity sanctioned Rs. $12,150 /-$ earliar.

In tha above thres eases, the Visakhapatnam Urban Develeament Authority has previded eampassianate appointments to the wivas on the first twe eases and ta the sen in the thirdeasa. Smt. P.Sita Ratnam \& Smt. J. Pydithalli, Attanders have statad that thay have not racavad the gratuity sefar. Smt. S.Aminaji, W/e.lata Sri S.A.N.Raju had reseivad gratuity amount af Rs. $12,150 /-$

As por the S.Rs. of the deezasedmmaleyas, the surviza martieulars ara as follous :

VUDA RESOLUTION No. 13, dated 22---2 $=-27$.
Deferred. Put up how many more employees may claim same facility.
KM gingery

## $: 2: 2$



Tha above 3 insividuals axpired while in sarvie and thoy hava mxirea priar to thaissue of G.J. by Gavarnment extanding the Pensionary wanafits ta all thameloyes of tha Urian Davalament Authoritises in tha Stata on par with tha State Govarnmant emplayes in G.D.Ms. Na.631, M.A., datad 13.12.1391. Hance, tha Pansionary banafits hava not ban sivan ta tham, previeusly sinaa thoy aximea priar ta the issuane e -f abave said G.O.

In this sonnsction, it is, braught to tho noties of the VUDA Boara that on a raquast masa by UUDA (aftar aparaval by VUBA in VUDA Bara Rasolutisn No.144, wated 21.37.1392) ta extand the Pansimary banafits acemrad in G.J.Ms. Ne. 631 , M.A., datas 13.12 .1391 ta tha 2 aseasam omsloyes, tha Government hava ext anaid tho family mancion benafits to the families af lata Sri G•Ramakrishna, A@mi. Offiear and late Sri M.Jayamal, Jr. Asst. deceasead ambyas af VUDA in G.O.MS.N...374, M.A., datad 26.07.1596 ralaxing the pravisions of G.0.1s.Na.631, M.A., dated 13.12 .1991 .

In viow of tha above esition, tho VUDA sara is ranuss es to asorevo the presosals taracmand to the Government for extenaing the family pansion mafitg ta the above 3 easas in ralaxation of the previsions of tho G.J.Ms.No.63l, M.A., datad 13.12.1391.

