

SUBJECT NO. 159,

RC. NO. 3419/85-41.

SI

SUB:- Estt. - U.D.A. - Vsp. - appointment of certain J.P.O. by direct recruitment - Execution of service bond by the selected candidates - Opinion obtained from Standing Counsel, VDU - Decision in implementing the bond for a period of 2 years - Reg.

The U.D.A. Visakhapatnam has appointed the following Technical personnel for the posts of J.P.Os., in VDU after notifying the posts in certain Newspapers.

1. Sri M. Munayarappa (Candidate from outside)
2. Sri E. K. Ananda Babu "
3. Sri B. Ramathirtha "
4. Sri L. Maheswara Rao (Candidate from within the Organisation.)

The advertisement does not make a mention about the condition of insisting on the persons selected for execution of Service bond for a period of two years and if any one wants to leave VDU before two years, the total amount paid to him till the date on which he leaves shall be reimbursed to VDU. But in the letter of appointment, the above condition has been mentioned. No doubt, this was accepted by the recruits but for some reason, this bond which should have been taken from them before they were allowed to join the posts, was not taken. Since then they were being insisted for execution of bond but none have executed the bond so far.

Out of the above 4 candidates, one Sri K. Anandababu, J.P.O., has left the organisation since he got appointment in T.C.P.O., New Delhi. Since he has not taken the permission of VDU and violated the condition of appointment, the T.C.P.O., New Delhi has been advised not to allow the individual to join in T.C.P.O., New Delhi. In the case of Sri L. Maheswara Rao, he was exempted from the execution of the bond taking into consideration of his long service in VDU. The rest of the individuals are being insisted by VDU for execution of the bonds. They were also not paid salaries for the month of November '86.

The matter has been referred to Standing Counsel, VDU, Visakhapatnam and the Standing Counsel opined that insisting on execution of a bond of the type contemplated in the letters of appointment, is not permissible under Law.

Hence, the matter is placed before VDU to take suitable decision regarding insisting of execution of service bonds from the above J.P.Os.



Advertisement of 2015-16 is now over and  
 the result of the examination is also out. The  
 advertisement of 2015-16 is now over and  
 the result of the examination is also out.

Thank you